

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 4402	
09/768,878		01/23/2001	Graham Wolstenholme	MI55-003		
21567	7590	12/19/2002				
		ROBERTS GREC	EXAMINER			
SUITE 13			BOOTH, RICHARD A			
SPOKANE, WA 99201-3828				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2812			
				DATE MAILED: 12/19/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	-							
•	Application N	0.	Applicant(s)					
A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	09/768,878		WOLSTENHOLME, GRAHAM					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Art Unit					
	Richard A. Boo		2812					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cov	er sheet with the co	orrespondence add	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, he y within the statutory will apply and will expi e, cause the applicatio	owever, may a reply be time minimum of thirty (30) days re SIX (6) MONTHS from the n to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely he mailing date of this col (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 f	November 2002) . •						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) Th	is action is non	-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under				e merits is				
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) <u>24-31,36,37,39-41 and 45-54</u> is/are p	•	• •						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>39</u> is/are withdrawn for 5) Size Claim(s) <u>24-31 and 45-53</u> is/are allowed.	rom considerati	on.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>36,37,40 and 41</u> is/are rejected.	·							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election roquis	romont						
Application Papers	r election requir	ement.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accep	oted or b) obje	cted to by the Exam	niner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the								
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) appro	ved b)⊡ disapprov	ed by the Examine	r.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-	-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been red	eived.						
Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been red	eived in Application	n No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the prior application. 	reau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).		Stage				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(e)	(to a provisional	application).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic								
Attachment(s)	-							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) MInformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) [3 2 (5) [6) [PTO-413) Paper No(s tent Application (PTO					

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Claim 39 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 8. Since generic claim 36 is no longer allowable, claim 39 is withdrawn from consideration until such a time that claim 36 is deemed allowable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 36-37 and 40-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee, U.S. Patent 5,270,240.

Lee shows the invention substantially as claimed including forming a line of floating gates 10 over a semiconductor substrate 20, the line of floating gates having a source side 16 and a drain side 18, the line of floating gates having an insulative cap 112 having an outermost surface; depositing an insulative sidewall forming layer 120 over the line of floating gates; and in one insulative anisotropic etching step, forming insulative sidewall spacers (see fig. 12) elevationally coincident with the insulative cap outermost surface (see col. 6-line 31 to col. 7-line 3).

Art Unit: 2812

Lee fails to expressly disclose forming a spacer on the source or drain side and not forming the spacer on the other side and then forming said spacer on the other side. However, a prima facie case of obviousness still exists because in general, the transposition of process steps or the splitting of one step into two, where the processes are substantially identical or equivalent in terms of function, manner and result, was held to not patentably distinguish the processes. *Ex parte Rubin* 128 USPQ 440 (PTO BdPatApp 1959).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard A. Booth whose telephone number is 308-3446. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Niebling can be reached on 308-3325. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 308-7724 for regular communications and 308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-1782.

Richard A. Booth Primary Examiner Art Unit 2812

December 15, 2002